

**TYPES AND INTENTIONS OF SPEECH ACTS ON THE STATUS  
MESSAGE OF TWITTER USERS TO THE MOSLEM ASSASSINATION  
IN CHRISTCHURCH MOSQUE**

**RESEARCH PAPER**



**Submitted as a Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements  
for Getting Bachelor Degree  
of English Education**

**By**

**NADYA ZILAN MAULIDA**

**A320160140**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH EDUCATION  
SCHOOL OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION  
MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF SURAKARTA**

**APPROVAL**

**TYPES AND INTENTIONS OF SPEECH ACTS ON THE STATUS  
MESSAGE OF TWITTER USERS TO THE MOSLEM ASSASSINATION  
IN CHRISTCHURCH MOSQUE**

**RESEARCH PAPER**

**By**

**NADYA ZILAN MAULIDA**

**A320160140**

**Approved to be examined by Consultant  
School of Teacher Training and Education  
Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta**

**Consultant,**



**Dr. Maryadi, M.A.**

**NIDN. 0004035801**

**ACCEPTANCE**

**TYPES AND INTENTIONS OF SPEECH ACTS ON THE STATUS  
MESSAGE OF TWITTER USERS TO THE MOSLEM ASSASSINATION  
IN CHRISTCHURCH MOSQUE**

**RESEARCH PAPER**

**By**

**NADYA ZILAN MAULIDA**

**A320160140**

Accepted by The Board Examiners of  
English Department  
School of Teacher Training and Education  
Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta

Team of Examiners:

1. Dr. Maryadi, M.A.
2. Dr. Malikatul Laila, M.Hum.
3. Drs. Sigit Haryanto, M.Hum.

(  )  
(  )  
(  )

Surakarta, November 13<sup>th</sup>, 2020

Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta  
School of Teacher Training and Education

Dean,



Prof. Dr. Harun Joko Prayitno, M.Hum

0650428199303001

## TESTIMONY

I am the researcher, signed the statement below:

Name : Nadya Zilan Maulida  
NIM : A320160140  
Study Program : Department of English Education  
Title : TYPES AND INTENTIONS OF SPEECH ACTS  
ON THE STATUS MESSAGE OF TWITTER  
USERS TO THE MOSLEM ASSASSINATION  
IN CHRISTCHURCH MOSQUE

I testify that there is no plagiarism in this Research Paper. As far as I know, there is literary work which has been raised to obtain bachelor degree of university. Nor, there are obtain master pieces which have been written or published by others, except those which writing are referred manuscript and mentioned in the literary review and bibliography. If this research paper is that there are some untrue statements in this testimony, I will hold fully responsible.

Surakarta, November 13<sup>th</sup>, 2020

The Researcher



Nadya Zilan Maulida

A320160140

## MOTTO

إِنَّ مِمَّا أَدْرَكَ النَّاسُ مِنْ كَلَامِ النَّبِيِّ إِذَا لَمْ تَسْتَحْيِ فافْعَلْ مَا شِئْتَ

**“Sesungguhnya diantara yang didapatkan manusia dari perkataan (yang disepakati) para Nabi adalah; “Jika kamu tidak malu, berbuatlah sesukamu” (HR. Bukhari)**

## DEDICATION

*This research paper is dedicated for my beloved parents Mr.  
Sukarno and Mrs. Faizatul Zodriyah.*

*Thanks for everything. Love.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*Assalamu'alaikum Wa Rahmatullahi Wa Barakatuh.*

Alhamdulillah, praise to do Allah Ta'ala for all of His grace, thus the researcher can able to complete this paper entitled *Types and Intentions of Speech Acts on the Status Message of Twitter Users to the Moslem Assassination in Christchurch Mosque*. Conducting the research, the researcher would like to give appreciation to the people in the below:

1. Prof. Dr. Harun Joko Prayitno, M. Hum., the Dean of School of Teacher Training and Education Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta.
2. Mauly Halwat Hikmat, Ph. D., the Head of English Department of Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta.
3. Aryati Prastyarini, M. Pd., the Secretary of English Department of Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta.
4. Dr. Maryadi, M.A., as the first consultant. Thanks a lot for the kindness and the patience.
5. Dr. Malikatul Laila, M. Hum., the examiner who has examined.
6. Drs. Sigit Haryanto, M. Hum., the examiner who has examined.
7. All lectures of Department of English Education, for teaching her well and all of staff members, for their kindness and help during my study and my thesis accomplishment.
8. My beloved father Mr. Sukarno and mother Mrs. Faizatul Qodriyah, for their patience, love, support and everything that cannSt be write.
9. My beloved sister Nadira Jihan Fitriya and brother Naswar Zidan Maulana, for all support and love.
10. My friend of C class 2016, for the beautiful time during the study that never forget it.
11. All of my beloved partner in KKNDik Khon Kaen Thailand 2019, for all supports, laughs and the positive energy.

12. My beloved partner, Mahfudz Fahrudin, Istiani Fathonah, Nanda Dwi Rohmah, Annisa Kusniati, and Lianita Nur Rohmah, for the support, advice, love and joy.

Finally, there are many attempts have been made to accomplish a good research paper, but it is possible this research paper still has a lot of weakness. The researcher would be very grateful to accept the constructive critiques, comments and suggestions for the better of this research paper. Hopefully, this research paper can be valuable for the reader especially who interested in pragmatics field.

*Wassalamu'alaikum Wa Rahmatullahi Wa Barakatuh.*

Surakarta, November 13<sup>th</sup>, 2020

Nadya Zilan Maulida



## ABSTRAK

Nadya Zilan Maulida<sup>1</sup>, [nadyazilanmaulida@gmail.com](mailto:nadyazilanmaulida@gmail.com)<sup>1</sup>, Maryadi<sup>2</sup>. [mar234@ums.ac.id](mailto:mar234@ums.ac.id)<sup>2</sup>. **TIPE DAN MAKSUD TINDAK TUTUR DALAM PESAN STATUS PENGGUNA TWITTER PADA PEMBUNUHAN MUSLIM DI MASJID CHRISTCHURCH.** Skripsi. Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, 13 November 2020.

Bahasa dan komunikasi merupakan dua hal yang tidak dapat dipisahkan. Komunikasi yang baik dibutuhkan supaya antara speaker dan hearer tercipta suatu hubungan yang harmonis. Setiap ucapan/ perkataan pada komunikasi selalu mempunyai makna yang tersirat maupun tersurat. Setiap kalimat yang diucapkan seseorang selalu menunjukkan suatu fungsi tertentu. Seseorang dapat membuat janji, meminta, mengeluh, berterima kasih, menjawab pertanyaan, mengundang dan sebagainya. Penelitian ini berfokus pada (1) jenis-jenis tindak tutur dan (2) maksud yang terdapat pada tindak tutur melalui pesan status yang ditulis oleh pengguna Twitter dalam tragedy penembakan Muslim di Christchurch. Data pada penelitian ini diambil dari ucapan-ucapan yang mengandung unsur tindak tutur dalam Twitter. Sumber data penelitian adalah Twitter. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode kualitatif dengan teknik observasi dan dokumentasi. Analisis data pada penelitian ini menggunakan teori tindak tutur Searle (1969). Hasil dari penelitian ini menunjukkan bahwa (1) ekspresif merupakan tindak tutur paling dominan yaitu 48%, kemudian diikuti oleh direktif 23%, asertif 19% dan komisif 10%. Tindak tutur deklarasi tidak ditemukan. (2) menegaskan 6.5%, memberi saran 12.9%, berkomitmen 9.7%, belasungkawa 25.8%, menyalahkan 12.9%, menyatakan, spekulasi, informasi, melaporkan, menyuruh, menasehati, memaksa, mengkritik, mengeluh dan menyindir mempunyai persentase yang sama yaitu 3.22%. Kesimpulan dari penelitian ini adalah tindak tutur ekspresif dan maksud ucapan tindak tutur belasungkawa yang paling dominan dalam pesan status Twitter.

Kata kunci : tindak tutur, maksud ujaran, pesan status, Twitter

## ABSTRACT

Nadya Zilan Maulida<sup>1</sup>, [nadyazilanmaulida@gmail.com](mailto:nadyazilanmaulida@gmail.com)<sup>1</sup> Maryadi<sup>2</sup>, [mar234@ums.ac.id](mailto:mar234@ums.ac.id)<sup>2</sup>. **TYPES AND INTENTIONS OF SPEECH ACTS ON THE STATUS MESSAGE OF TWITTER USERS TO THE MOSLEM ASSASSINATION IN CHRISTCHURCH MOSQUE.** Research Paper. School of Teacher Training and Education. Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta, November 13<sup>th</sup>, 2020.,

Language and communication are matters that cannot be separated. Good communication is needed so that between speakers and hearers can make a harmonious relationship. Every utterance in communication always has an implied or explicit meaning. Every sentence that someone utters always shows a certain function. The people can make an appointment, asking, complaining, thanking, answering questions, inviting and so on. This study focuses on (1) identify the type of speech acts and (2) find out the intention of the speech acts found in status message that written by Twitter users on the tragedy on Muslim assassination in Christchurch. This study is qualitative research using the techniques of observation and documentation. The data and data source of the study are from the utterances that containing speech acts on Twitter status messages. The data was analyzed by theory of speech acts by Searle (1969). The result of the study is (1) expressives is the most dominant speech acts that appeared on this study for about 48%, followed by directives 23%, assertives 19% and commissives 10%. Declarations is not found in this study and (2) asserting 6.5%, suggesting 12.9%, committing 9.7%, condoling 25.8%, blaming 12.9%, speculating, informing, reporting, ordering, advising, forcing, criticizing, complaining dan teasing have the same percentage for about 3.22%. In conclusion, the expressives speech acts and the intention of condoling is the most dominant on the Twitter status messages.

Keyword : speech acts, the intentions, status message, Twitter

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>COVER .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>APPROVAL.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACCEPTANCE .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TESTIMONY.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>MOTTO .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>DEDICATION .....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK.....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT .....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>xv</b>
 <b>CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION .....</b>	 <b>1</b>
<b>A. Background of the Study .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>B. Problem Statement.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>C. Objective of the Study .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>D. Benefit of the Study .....</b>	<b>3</b>
 <b>CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	 <b>5</b>
<b>A. Previous Study.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>B. Underlying Theory .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1. Pragmatics.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>a. Notion of pragmatics.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>b. Principles of Pragmatics .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>1) Deixis.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2) Implicature .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>3) Presupposition .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>4) Entailment .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>5) Speech act .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>2. Definition of Speech Act.....</b>	<b>19</b>

3. Level of Speech Acts.....	22
a. Locutionary Acts.....	22
b. Illocutionary Acts.....	22
c. Perlocutionary Acts .....	23
4. Classification of Speech Acts.....	24
a. Assertives.....	24
b. Directives.....	24
c. Commisives .....	25
d. Expressives .....	25
e. Declarations .....	25
5. Social Context.....	26
C. Theoretical Framework .....	27
<b>CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD .....</b>	<b>28</b>
A. Type and Design of Research.....	28
B. Object of Research .....	28
C. Data and Data Source .....	28
D. Technique of Collecting Data.....	29
E. Technique of Analysis Data .....	29
<b>CHAPTER IV DATA ANALYSIS, FINDING AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>31</b>
A. Data Analysis.....	31
1. Types of Speech Acts.....	31
a. Assertives.....	31
b. Directives.....	34
c. Commisives .....	37
d. Expressives .....	38
e. Declarations .....	44
2. The Intentions of Speech Acts.....	45
a. Asserting.....	45
b. Stating .....	46
c. Speculating.....	47
d. Informing .....	47
e. Reporting.....	48

f. Suggesting .....	49
g. Ordering.....	51
h. Advising.....	52
i. Forcing .....	52
j. Committing .....	53
k. Condoling .....	54
l. Criticizing.....	58
m. Blaming .....	59
n. Complaining.....	61
o. Teasing .....	61
B. Finding.....	62
1. Types of Speech Acts in the Response of Twitter Users .....	62
2. The Intentions of Speech Acts in the Response of Twitter Users ..	63
C. Discussion .....	65
1. Types of Speech Acts.....	65
2. The Intentions of Speech Acts.....	69
<b>CHAPTER V CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION AND SUGGESTION .....</b>	<b>73</b>
A. Conclusion .....	73
1. Types of Speech Acts.....	73
2. The Intentions of the Speech Acts.....	73
B. Implication.....	74
1. Theoretical.....	74
2. Practical .....	74
C. Suggestion .....	75
1. The Future Researcher.....	75
2. The Teacher .....	75
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>78</b>

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>Table 2.1 The Five-General Function of Speech Acts .....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Table 4.1 Types of Speech Acts .....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>Table 4.2 The Intention of Speech Acts.....</b>	<b>63</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Sketch of Previous Study .....	7
Figure 2.2 Sketch of Theoretical Framework .....	27
Figure 4.1 The Chart of Types of Speech Acts .....	66
Figure 4. 2 The Chart of the Intention of Speech Acts (Assertives).....	69
Figure 4. 3 The Chart of the Intention of Speech Acts (Directives .....	70
Figure 4. 4 The Chart of The Intention of Speech Acts (Expressives).....	70